G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

19 1894-5

(Madras)

File No. 235

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*_____

SUBJECT

(Recovery of Paduvah of Rs. 150 due from Shamasastry & Narasimhasastry to the Lakshmi Narasimhaswamy Temple at

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

Gen. Serial No. 30.] 557

1894 95 S. No.)

From The Deputy Commissioner Hassan District

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Govt ~~Dewan~~ of Mysore. Huzur Department

Dated 26 March 95

Despatched "

Received 28/3

Enclosures No. one

General No. 694

No. S

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting for sanction a remission statement for Rs 72-8 a duty Shanasastry and Narasimhasastry of Hole Narsipur to the Pudmavati fund of the Lakshmiramanaswami temple at Hole Narsipur.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the Same No 986 dy 10-5-95

No. 694
-5
Civil.

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District
Dated Hassan the 26th March 187

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District,

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Muzrai Department.

Sir,

I have the honour to report that a Sum of Rs 100/- was borrowed by Subbasastry and Lakshmipati Sastry of Holenarsipur from the Lakshmi Narsimaswami temple of that place on the 6th April 1863 at 12 per cent interest per annum by pottiketing Inam land the particulars of which are given in the margin. The interest upto 30th September 1881 was paid. The executants of the bond are dead. Subbasastry has two sons their names are Shama Sastry and Narahari Sastry. Lakshmipati Sastry has no issue. These have sold the land to Kumbhakoni Subbamachar and Raghavendrachar. They raised objection for the payment of the arrears of interest due and the principal on the ground that their father and uncle merely executed a bond without receiving the amount, binding themselves

Part of Survey no: 27. A-G 2-3 and the assessment is Rs 9. Quit rent &c payable annually is	Rs 3-10-a

themselves for the performance of a Sankar-
pana during the car festival.

2.— The interest from October 1887 to
31st March 1894 amounted to Rs 150. A suit was
filed in the Holé Narsipur Munsiff's Court
for Rs 200/- (Rs 100 for the principal and Rs 100 for
the interest). Before a decree was passed Sha-
-masastry and Narahari Sastry consented to
submit for an amicable settlement and pro-
-mised to pay Rs 150/- on the ground that they
are poor and unable to pay up the whole
account viz., Rs 222-8-a including costs for
filing the suit. As it was considered advisable
further proceedings were postponed and the
Amildar was directed to receive the amount of
Rs 150/- and to intimate to the Court that this matter
has been amicably settled out of Court.

3.— The balance viz Rs 72-8-a is irreco-
-verable and I therefore solicit instructions for
writing off the same from the accounts of the
Perpetual funds of the temple. The Amildar fur-
-ther reports that he has since received Rs 150/-.

4.— A remission statement is herewith
submitted for sanction.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
M Krishnaji

Dy Commissioner
Hassan District

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

1895

MUZARAI.

From

To The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District

Dated 10th ~~April~~ May

Despatched 11

Received

Enclosures No. 1

General No.
Muzarai F. No. 986

His letter No 694 of the
26th ~~March~~ March last submitting a
remission Statement for
Rs 72-8-0 due by Shama
Sastry and Narahari Sastry
of Holé Narasipur to the
temple of Lakshmi Nara-
simhaswamy ~~at Holé Nara-~~
~~sipur~~ in the said town
and soliciting sanction
for the amount being
written off the temple
accounts.

From the same No 1633 7/8/95

It is observed from the above that the amount due to the temple in all is Rs 272/8/- as particularized in the margin. As the individuals referred to above have already are said to have paid Rs 150/- towards the satisfaction of this amount the balance ~~to be paid to be~~ to be written off as ~~irrecoverable~~ is Rs 122-8-0 and not Rs 72-8-0, as shewn in the remittance statement.

2. The statement is herewith returned with a request that the Deputy Commrs will explain the discrepancy pointed out.

By order

G.V. Secretary

(X) Principal Sum lent ——— Rs 100
Interest as the above sum
@ 12% & 3½ per annum
from 1881 to March/94
at Rs 12½ p.c. per annum ——— Rs 150
Cost of instituting a suit
for the recovery of the said Principal
and interest ——— 22—8—0
Total Rs 272—8—0

Gen. Serial No. 30.]



119

189 5

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Division

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore.
Muzarai Department.

Dated 7
Despatched } August 1895.
Received 10/8

Enclosures No. one.

General No. 163
No. 2213

In reply to Reo. 986 B 10th May 1895.

SUBJECT.

Resubmitting for sanction the Statement of Remission for Rs 72-8-0 due by Shamasastri and Varahari Sastri of Hole Narsipur to the Lakshminarasimhaswami Temple, with certain explanation &c.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

To the Same Reo 475 B 15/10/95

No. 163 for Rec'y

Deputy Commissioner's Office
Hassan District.

Dated. Hassan, 7th August 1895

From,

A. Krishnaswamiengar Esqr
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to your Docket No. 986 Dated 10th May 1895, requesting explanation for a certain discrepancy in the remission statement for Rs 72-8-0 due by Shamasastri and Narahariseshu of Holé Narsipur to the Lakshmi Narasimhaswami Temple, I have the honor to state that the statement is correct and that there is no discrepancy at all.

For,

For though the interest from 1881 to 1894 amounted to 150 Rs, the suit was filed only for Rs 100 principal and Rs 100 interest, as the interest claimed should not exceed the principal. Thus, the sum claimed and the Court Costs amounted to Rs 222—8—" only and not to Rs 272—8—". The defaulters having paid 150 Rupees the remainder for remission is Rs 72—8—"

2 The statement is herewith resubmitted for sanction.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

C. Lutchmanen
Deputy Commissioner
Hassan Dt Brick

Statement shewing the particulars of Pudewal funds of the Lakhmiswar Swamy temple at Nasik Sepoy recommended to be written off the accounts for 1894-95.

Name of the defaulter	Amount	Remarks.
Ramaswamy and Varadaswamy of Nasik Pujaris	72 8 -	The principal was Rs 100/- The interest due from 1881 to 1894 was Rs 180/ A suit was filed for Rs 200/- The cost incurred was Rs 22-8- making in all Rs 222-8- The defaulters being impecunious and they having agreed to pay up Rs 150/-, the Amildar was directed to receive the amount and to intimate the fact to the court. The balance is irrecoverable. Further particulars and the grounds for writing off the balance from the Pudewal funds are explained in the accompanying letter.

M Ramrao

Dy Commissioner's Office
Adraspur District
dated Nasik 26th March 1895.

Dy Commissioner
in-charge

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No. 163 dated 7th August 1895 from the Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District, submitting a Remission Statement for Rupees 72-8-0 due by Rama Sastri and Narahari Sastri to the Temple of Lakshminara=simha Swami at Bole=Narasipur and soliciting sanction for writing off the amount from the Temple accounts -

---

Order thereon - -

Two brothers named Subba Sastri and Lak=shmipathi Sastri of Bole-Narasipur had borrowed Rupees 100/ from the Tirdavat funds of the

R

No. 475 dy 15/10/95

Lakshmi Narasimha
=swami Temple in the
said town on the 6th
April 1863 at 12 per
Cent interest per annum
on the hypothecation of
their Inam land -
Interest on the princi=
=pal was realized up
to the 30th September
1881 - Meanwhile both
the brothers died, of
whom the younger
was issueless and
the elder has left two
sons named Rama=
=Sastri and Nara=
=hari Sastri above
referred to - These two
individuals declined
to pay interest and
principal and sold
the mortgaged land
to a third party - ~~Hence~~ Hence
a suit was instituted

against them in the
Sholi Narasipur
Munsiff's Court for the
recovery of Rupees 200/.
Before the matter came
for a decision it was
considered advisable
to adjust the claim
out of Court. according
the offer of Rupees 150
made by Samasastri and
Narahari Sastri in
full satisfaction of
the claim was accepted
and the same has been
intimated to the Court
The Deputy Commissioner
now reports that in pursuance
of this private settlement
Rupees 150/ have
been received and re=
=commends that the
balance of Rupees 72-8-
~~incl. Court Cost~~
may be written off
the accounts of the
Temple.

Under the foregoing

circumstances the.
writing-off of Rupees
72-8 is sanctioned

A.S.
Secretary
6/7

To the Deputy Commissioner
Hassan District